Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/682,188	UEDA, MAKOTO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Tang	2195
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendment after Non-final</u>		
(1) <u>Kenneth Tang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Frank V. DeRosa (Reg. No. 43,584).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 March 2006	Time: <u>2pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant submitted Proposed Amendment.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	,
Claims discussed: 1-21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims according the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		,
•		
of a second seco		· (Y)
MICH SENT CAMINER		•
Klumoth In		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		